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RESUMEN: El modelo dinámico de un sistema de control de par utilizando cuatro giróscopos (4-CMG) tradicionalmente se obtiene al calcular la derivada de la ecuación del momento angular total. Aunque este enfoque conduce a un modelo dinámico relativamente simple, recientemente se han introducido nuevos modelos debido a términos que no se han tenido en cuenta, o se desprecian, durante el cálculo de la ecuación del momento angular. En este artículo, se propone un nuevo modelo dinámico para un 4-CMG basado en el algoritmo de Newton-Euler, el cual es bien aceptado en robótica. Con este nuevo enfoque se logra obtener un modelo dinámico bastante completo.
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ABSTRACT: The dynamic model of a Four Control Moment Gyroscope (4-CMG) is traditionally obtained after computing the derivative of the angular momentum equation. Although this approach leads to a simple dynamic model, new models had been introduced due to terms not taken into account during the computation of the angular momentum equation. In this paper, a new dynamic model for a 4-CMG based on the Newton-Euler algorithm, which is well accepted in Robotics, was developed. This new approach produces a complete dynamic model.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A Four Control Moment Gyroscope (4-CMG) is an angular momentum exchange device used on satellites, [1], [2], [3], submarines [4], [5], to control attitude. It is composed by four gyroscopes arranged in a pyramidal form, see figure 1, with the torque amplification property being its principal advantage [6]. Moreover, when used in satellites no fuel or gas propeller is required, because the motors use electricity to operate.

The dynamic model of a 4-CMG is usually obtained by differentiation of the angular momentum equation [7]. This is done in [3], [4] and [8]. Probably the most exact dynamic model using this approach is the developed by Ford and Hall, [8].

The first comparison between a robot arm and a 4-CMG was performed by Bedrossian et al. [9]; in this work an analogy of velocities was considered to study the singularities on a 4-CMG. No further analogies with robot arms were performed.

In this paper a kinematics comparison between a 4-CMG and a robotic arm is used to develop a new dynamic model. The advantages of this approach are: use of a
widely accepted methodology to compute a dynamic model, and more precise equations.

2. DYNAMIC EQUATIONS FOR A CMG

Figure 1 illustrates a CMG with four gyroscopes, each of them composed by a flywheel and a gimbal. A coordinate frame $x_i$, $y_i$, $z_i$ is located at the center of the flywheel, which serves as a reference for the motion of gimbals and flywheels. The flywheels turn at a constant speed, while the gimbals can rotate around $y_i$ axis without any restriction. The angle of rotation of an $i$-th gimbal is represented by $\theta_i$, with the zero position being illustrated in the figure. The position of the four gyroscopes is denoted by the vector $\theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4]^T$. The angle $\beta$ is the pyramid’s skew angle.

Figure 2 illustrates the equivalent open kinematics chain for a 4-CMG; there the circles represent rotational joints. To compute the dynamic model, two steps are performed, see figure 3. The first step is the Newton-Euler algorithm for each gyroscope, which led to the reaction forces and moments applied to the base body. The second step is the dynamic equation for the base body, where the reaction forces and moments exerted by each gyroscope, $M_i$ and $F_i$, are involved. The angular and linear velocities of the base body, $\omega_0$ and $v_0$ respectively, plus the angular velocities of each joint, $\omega_{i,1}$ and $\omega_{i,2}$ are required in the Newton-Euler algorithm to perform the direct kinematics of the gyroscopes [10], [11].

![Figure 1. Control Moment Gyroscope with Pyramidal Array.](image1)

![Figure 2. Equivalent kinematic chain of a 4-CMG.](image2)

![Figure 3. Gyroscope kinematics and Base body dynamics.](image3)

### Figure 3. Gyroscope kinematics and Base body dynamics.

Computation of the Newton Euler Equations for a serial robot is also done using two steps, Tsai [12]. The first one is the kinematics calculus toward the extreme of the robot, as shown in table I. The second step is the dynamic calculus of backward computation, as can be seen in table II. Note, only rotational joints are considered in both tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. RECURSIVE NEWTON-EULER FORMULATION. FORWARD KINEMATICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FORWARD KINEMATICS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular Velocity Propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^iR = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_i) &amp; -\cos(\alpha_i)\sin(\theta_i) &amp; \sin(\alpha_i) \sin(\theta_i) \ -\cos(\alpha_i)\sin(\theta_i) &amp; \cos(\alpha_i)\cos(\theta_i) &amp; \sin(\alpha_i)\cos(\theta_i) \ \sin(\alpha_i)\sin(\theta_i) &amp; -\sin(\alpha_i)\cos(\theta_i) &amp; \cos(\alpha_i) \end{bmatrix} $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{-1}R_{i-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; 0 &amp; 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular Acceleration Propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_i = \omega_{i-1} + \omega_i \times \dot{\theta}_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Velocity Propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_i = v_{i-1} + \omega_{i-1} \times r_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Acceleration Propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{v}<em>i = \omega</em>{i-1} \times \dot{r}<em>i + v_i \times (\omega</em>{i-1} \times r_i)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Acceleration of the Center of Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{i,d} = v_i + \omega_{i-1} \times r_i + \omega_{i-1} \times (\omega_{i-1} \times r_i)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration of Gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g = ^iR_{i-1}^{-1}g$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following assumptions have been made to simplify the equations of the Newton-Euler methodology:

- Mass and inertia of the gimbals are approximately zero or negligible.
- The center of mass of the flywheel is aligned with the gimbal axis.
- Velocity and acceleration of the base body are not equal to zero.

The mass and inertia of the gimbal frame is neglected because the flywheel has the major contribution in the mass and inertia of the gyroscopes.

### 2.1. Data of the Links

For the Newton-Euler approach, one gyroscope is composed by three links: base body, gimbal and flywheel. Each of these links are joined by a rotational joint, figure 3. Before computing the forward kinematics and backward dynamics, each link must have a coordinate frame. Figure 4 illustrates the frames and vectors defined for each link. A new frame, \([X_{0,i},Y_{0,i},Z_{0,i}]\), is used to express the forces and moment exerted by the gyroscopes. This frame is fixed in the base body. The other two frames are \([X_{1,i},Y_{1,i},Z_{1,i}]\) and \([X_{2,i},Y_{2,i},Z_{2,i}]\), with the former being the frame of the gimbals link and the latter being the frame of the flywheel link. These two frames are located at the same point, the center of the flywheel. The homogeneous matrix between the frames fixed in the base body, \([X_{0},Y_{0},Z_{0}]\) and \([X_{0,i},Y_{0,i},Z_{0,i}]\), is,

\[
b_{A_{0,i}} = \begin{bmatrix}
c_{a_i} & -s_{a_i}c_{\beta} & -s_{a_i}s_{\beta} & -s_{a_i}r \\
s_{a_i} & c_{a_i}c_{\beta} & c_{a_i}s_{\beta} & c_{a_i}r \\
0 & -s_{\beta} & c_{\beta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(1)

where \(s_{a_i}, c_{a_i}, s_{\beta}\) and \(c_{\beta}\) stands for \(\sin(a_i)\), \(\cos(a_i)\), \(\sin(\beta)\) and \(\cos(\beta)\) respectively; \(r\) is the radius of the circle where the gyroscopes are located; \(a_i\) is the angle of the turn around axis \(z_0\) to align \(y_0\) with \(y_i\), and it has any of the values of \(\{0, \pi/2, \pi, 2\pi/3\}\) radians.

The Denavit-Hartenberg parameters for one gyroscope according to figure 4, are shown in table III. In this table \(L = ||r_{1,i}||\), where \(r_{1,i}\) is the vector from frame \(\{0, i\}\) to frame \(\{1, i\}\).

### Table 3. DENAVIT-HARTEMBERG PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>(a_i)</th>
<th>(d_i)</th>
<th>(\theta_i)</th>
<th>(\theta_{i,2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\pi/2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(\theta_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(\theta_{1,2})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Homogeneous Transformation Matrices: The DH transformation matrices for each link can be also computed, these are:

\[
0_{i}A_{1,i} = \begin{bmatrix}
sin(\theta_i) & 0 & -\cos(\theta_i) & 0 \\
-\cos(\theta_i) & 0 & \sin(\theta_i) & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & L \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2)

\[
1_{i}A_{2,i} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\theta_{i,2}) & \sin(\theta_{i,2}) & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin(\theta_{i,2}) & \cos(\theta_{i,2}) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(3)

2) Position Vectors: The position of the frame \(\{1, i\}\) with respect to \(\{0, i\}\) is defined by vector \(0_{i}r_{c0,i}\). The vector \(2_{i}r_{c2,i}\) defines the position of \(\{2, i\}\) related to \(\{1, i\}\). The mass centre of each link is defined by vectors \(0_{i}r_{c0,i}\) and \(1_{i}r_{c1,i}\). These vectors have the following values,
\[ 0.1^i \mathbf{r}_{0,i} \text{ is zero because the mass and inertia of the gimbals are neglected.} \]

3) Inertia and mass for links: For both links the values of mass and inertia are,

\[ m_{1,i} = 0 \]  
\[ m_{2,i} = m_2 \]  
\[ 1^i I_{1,i} = 0 \]  
\[ 2^i I_{2,i} = \text{diag}([I_{xx} I_{yy} I_{zz}]) \]

4) Base Body conditions: Different to a typical robot, the base body of a 4-CMG is in motion, which allows it to have an angular and linear velocity as well as non zero acceleration.

2.2. Forward Kinematics
The following equations are derived after using the forward kinematics, table I,

1) First link - gimbal axis: The first link has the following velocity and acceleration,

Angular Velocity
\[ \omega_{1,i} = \omega_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \dot{\theta}_i \]

Angular Acceleration
\[ \dot{\omega}_{1,i} = \dot{\omega}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \ddot{\theta}_i + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \dot{\theta}_i \]

Linear Velocity
\[ \mathbf{v}_{1,i} = \mathbf{v}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]

because \( \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \) and \( \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \) are parallel.

Linear Acceleration
\[ \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{1,i} = \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{0,i} + \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{\ddot{r}}_{1,i} \]

Acceleration of the center of mass
\[ \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{c,i} = \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{1,i} \]

2) Second Link - flywheel axis: Performing the same steps, as the first link, the results are,

Angular Velocity
\[ \omega_{2,i} = \omega_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \dot{\theta}_i + \mathbf{z}_{1,i} \dot{\theta}_{2,i} \]

Angular Acceleration
\[ \dot{\omega}_{2,i} = \dot{\omega}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \ddot{\theta}_i + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \dot{\theta}_i + \mathbf{z}_{1,i} \ddot{\theta}_{2,i} + \dot{\theta}_i \dot{\theta}_{2,i} \mathbf{x}_{1,i} \]

Linear Velocity
\[ \mathbf{v}_{2,i} = \mathbf{v}_{0,i} + \omega_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]

Linear Acceleration
\[ \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{2,i} = \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{0,i} + \mathbf{z}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{\ddot{r}}_{1,i} + \omega_{0,i} \times \mathbf{\ddot{r}}_{1,i} \]

Acceleration of the center of mass
\[ \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{c,i} = \mathbf{\ddot{v}}_{2,i} \]

2.3. Backward Dynamics
The following dynamics equations for one gyroscope are obtained after applying the equations in table II.

1) Second Body: By using the backward dynamics, the torque required by the flywheel motor can be computed as the Inertial Forces and Moments

\[ \mathbf{r}_{2,i}^* = -m_2 \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{0,i} - m_2 \omega_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]
\[ -m_2 \omega_{0,i} \times \omega_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]

\[ \mathbf{n}_{2,i} = -I_{1,2} \omega_{0,i} - I_{2,3} \omega_{0,i} - \dot{\theta}_i \hat{I}_{yy} \omega_{0,i} \times \mathbf{y}_{1,i} \]
\[ -\dot{\theta}_i I_{xx} \omega_{0,i} \times \mathbf{x}_{1,i} - \dot{\theta}_i I_{yy} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} \]
\[ -\dot{\theta}_i \dot{\theta}_{2,i} \mathbf{x}_{1,i} \]

where the following relations were used,

\[ I_{2,3} \mathbf{x}_{1,i} = \hat{I}_{yy} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} \]
\[ I_{2,3} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} = \hat{I}_{xx} \mathbf{x}_{1,i} \]
\[ I_{2,3} \mathbf{x}_{1,i} = \hat{I}_{xx} \mathbf{y}_{1,i} \]

2) First Body: In these steps the torque required by gimbal motor and the reaction moments and forces in the base body are computed. Inertial Forces and Moments

\[ \mathbf{f}_{2,i} = 0 \]
\[ \mathbf{n}_{2,i}^* = 0 \]
Forces and Moments in the center of mass

\[ \mathbf{f}_{0,i} = -m_2 \mathbf{g} + m_2 \mathbf{v}_{0,i} + m_2 \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + m_2 \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]  
\[ \mathbf{n}_{0,i} = I_2, \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} + \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + I_3, \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} + \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]  
(32)

Torque in Joint

\[ \tau_{1,i} = x_0^T I_2, \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} + x_0^T \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times I_2, \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} + \theta_{1,i} I_{11} , \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} + \theta_{1,i} I_{11} \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \times \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]  
(33)

2.3. Dynamic Equation for Base Body

The total force and moment exerted on the base body, is the sum of the force and torque for each gyroscope’s equation, (32) and (33).

\[ \mathbf{F}_{ext} + m_0 \mathbf{g} = m_2 \mathbf{v}_b + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathbf{f}_{0,i} \]  
\[ \mathbf{r}_{ext} = I_2, \mathbf{\omega}_b + \mathbf{\omega}_b \times I_2, \mathbf{\omega}_b \]  
+ \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\mathbf{n}_{0,i} + \mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{f}_{0,i}) \]  
(35)

If \( \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{v}_{0,i}, \mathbf{v}_{0,i}, \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} \) and \( \dot{\mathbf{\omega}}_{0,i} \) are defined by the following expressions,

\[ \mathbf{r}_1 = [0, r, 0]^T \]  
\[ \mathbf{r}_2 = [-r, 0, 0]^T \]  
\[ \mathbf{r}_3 = [0, -r, 0]^T \]  
\[ \mathbf{r}_4 = [r, 0, 0]^T \]  
\[ \mathbf{\omega}_0 = \mathbf{\omega}_b \]  
\[ \mathbf{\omega}_0 = \mathbf{\omega}_b \]  
\[ \mathbf{v}_{0,i} = \mathbf{v}_b + \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
\[ \mathbf{\omega}_{0,i} = \mathbf{\omega}_b + \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
\[ + \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
(36)

then equations (32) and (33) are expressed in terms of base body variables,

\[ \mathbf{f}_{0,i} = -m_2 g + m_2 \mathbf{v}_b + m_2 \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i + m_2 \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
+ m_2 \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
(45)

\[ \mathbf{n}_{0,i} = I_2, \mathbf{\omega}_b + \mathbf{\omega}_b \times I_2, \mathbf{\omega}_b + \theta_{i,j} I_{11} , \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
+ \theta_{i,j} I_{11} \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
+ \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{\omega}_b \times \mathbf{r}_i \]  
(46)

The equation of forces is obtained after replacing (45) in (35). This preliminary result is simplified if the relationship for \( \mathbf{r}_i \) is used in conjunction with the fact that for a symmetrical 4-CMG the vectors \( \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \) are,

\[ \mathbf{r}_{1,0} = -\mathbf{r}_{1,2} \]  
\[ \mathbf{r}_{1,1} = -\mathbf{r}_{1,3} \]  
(47)

\[ \mathbf{r}_i' = \mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_{1,i} \]  
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{4} m_2 \mathbf{r}_i' \times \mathbf{g} = 0 \]  
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{4} m_2 \mathbf{r}_i' \times \mathbf{v}_b = 0 \]  
\[ \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} \]  
(50)

\[ \mathbf{F}_{ext} = (m_0 + 4m_2) \mathbf{v}_b - (m_0 + 4m_2) \mathbf{g} \]  
(49)

Before computing the dynamic equation for moments, the expression \( \mathbf{n}_{0,i} + \mathbf{r}_i \times \mathbf{f}_{0,i} \) is simplified by using the following relations,

\[ \mathbf{b} \mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} X_{1,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{b} X_{1,4} \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ \mathbf{b} \mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} Y_{1,1} & \cdots & \mathbf{b} Y_{1,4} \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ \mathbf{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_4 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ \mathbf{L}_b = \mathbf{L}_b + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i^T - \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i \right) \]  
\[ \mathbf{D}_b = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i^T - \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i \right) \]  
\[ \mathbf{L}_b = \mathbf{L}_b + \mathbf{D}_b \]  
(55)

(56)

(57)

(58)

(59)

(60)

(61)

\[ \mathbf{\hat{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{a}_3 & \mathbf{a}_2 \\ -\mathbf{a}_3 & 0 & -\mathbf{a}_1 \\ -\mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ \mathbf{\tau}_{ext} = \mathbf{b} \mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{\omega}_b + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{Y}_1 \mathbf{\omega}_b + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{Y}_1 \mathbf{\theta} + \mathbf{\theta} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{J}_3 \mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{\theta} \]  
+ \mathbf{b} \mathbf{Y}_1 \mathbf{\omega}_b \mathbf{\theta} + \mathbf{\theta} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{J}_3 \mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{\theta} \]  
\( \sum_{i=1}^{4} m_2 \mathbf{z}_{1,i} \mathbf{z}_{1,i} \]  
(63)

where \( \mathbf{b} \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{b} \mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{b} \mathbf{z}_{1,i} \), and \( \mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}_i \) are,
Finally, the torque equations, (34) and (29), can be resorted and expressed in base body coordinates as,

\[ bX_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
    s\theta_1 & c\beta \theta_2 & -s\beta \theta_3 & -c\beta \theta_4 \\
    -c\beta \theta_1 & s\beta \theta_2 & c\beta \theta_3 & s\beta \theta_4 \\
    c\beta \theta_1 & s\beta \theta_2 & c\beta \theta_3 & -s\beta \theta_4 \\
    s\beta \theta_1 & -c\beta \theta_2 & -s\beta \theta_3 & c\beta \theta_4
\end{bmatrix} \]

(64)

\[ bY_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
    0 & -s\beta & 0 & s\beta \\
    0 & c\beta & 0 & -s\beta \\
    c\beta & 0 & c\beta & 0 \\
    0 & s\beta & c\beta & 0
\end{bmatrix} \]

(65)

\[ b\tau_{1,1} = \begin{bmatrix}
    -c\theta_1 \\
    -c\beta \theta_1 \\
    c\theta_1 \\
    -c\beta \theta_1
\end{bmatrix}^T \]

(66)

\[ b\tau_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix}
    c\beta \theta_2 \\
    s\beta \theta_2 \\
    c\beta \theta_2 \\
    s\beta \theta_2
\end{bmatrix}^T \]

(67)

\[ b\tau_{1,3} = \begin{bmatrix}
    s\beta \theta_3 \\
    c\beta \theta_3 \\
    s\beta \theta_3 \\
    c\beta \theta_3
\end{bmatrix}^T \]

(68)

\[ b\tau_{1,4} = \begin{bmatrix}
    -c\beta \theta_4 \\
    -c\beta \theta_4 \\
    -c\beta \theta_4 \\
    -c\beta \theta_4
\end{bmatrix}^T \]

(69)

\[ bR_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
    s\theta_1 & 0 & -c\theta_1 \\
    0 & s\beta \theta_1 & c\theta_1 \\
    -c\beta \theta_1 & -s\beta \theta_1 & s\theta_1
\end{bmatrix} \]

(70)

\[ bR_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
    c\beta \theta_2 & s\beta \theta_2 \\
    s\beta \theta_2 & -c\beta \theta_2 \\
    0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \]

(71)

\[ bR_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
    s\beta \theta_3 & c\beta \theta_3 \\
    c\beta \theta_3 & -s\beta \theta_3 \\
    0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \]

(72)

\[ bR_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
    -c\beta \theta_4 & 0 \\
    0 & -c\beta \theta_4 \\
    0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \]

(73)

This equations are useful to select the motors for each actuated joint.

3. CONCLUSIONS

A new dynamic model for a 4-CMG was derived using the Newton-Euler algorithm, a methodology commonly used in Robotics. Although some simplifications were done, the dynamic model is useful to study the behaviour of a 4-CMG. The obtained dynamic model can also be used for computing a control law for a 4-CMG. Torque equations for the rotational joints were also found. These equations are used to select the proper motors that will drive the joints.
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